

Procedure and Tables for Construction and Selection of Chain Sampling Plan with Zero – Inflated Poisson Distribution

Dr. M. Latha

Principal (Red), Kamarajar Government Arts College,
Surandai- 627859, Tamil Nadu, India.
E-mail ID: mmmlatha.madan@gmail.com

Mr.A.Palanisamy

Research Scholar, Department of Statistics,
Government Arts College,
Udumalpet- 642126, Tamil Nadu, India.
Email ID: palanisamystat@gmail.com

Abstract- ZIP Chain sampling plans (ChSP-1) allow significant reductions in sample size under conditions of a continuing succession of lots from a stable, trusted supplier. This paper presents procedures and tables for the construction of such plans and for selection of plans by specified properties. The performance of the chain sampling plan for variable fraction defective is also discussed by determining the operating characteristic function. This paper provides a method for the selection of ZIP chain sampling plan (ChSP-1) on the basis of different combinations of entry parameter, Tables for determining the associated AQL and AOQL are also given.

Keywords-Acceptable Quality Level (AQL), Average Outgoing Quality Limit (AOQL), Chain Sampling Plan (ChSP-1) with Zero – Inflated Poisson distribution.

1. INTRODUCTION

Dodge (1955) has proposed Chain Sampling Plan in which Chain Sampling Plan allows significant reduction in sample size and the condition for a continuing succession of lots from a stable and trusted supplier. Soundararajan (1978) has studied Chain Sampling Plan –1 involving designing of Chain Sampling Plan indexed through AQL and AOQL. The main thrust of this paper is to account for the possibility of dependence among the items of a sample. The Zero-Inflated Poisson (ZIP) distribution can be used as the appropriate probability distribution to data consisting many over dispersed zeros. ZIP distribution has been used in a wide range of disciplines such as Agriculture, Epidemiology, Econometrics, Public health, Process control, Medicine, Manufacturing, etc. Some of the applications of ZIP distribution can be found in Bohning et al. (1999) and Lambert (1992). Construction of control charts using ZIP distribution are discussed in Sim and Lim (2008). Single sampling plans by attributes under the conditions of Zero – inflated Poisson distribution are determined by Loganathan and Shalini (2013), Suresh and Latha (2002) have given a procedure and tables for the

selection of Bayesian chain sampling plan-1.Palanisamy and Latha,(2018) have discuss about the Construction of Bayesian Single Sampling Plan by Attributes under the Conditions of Gamma Zero – Inflated Poisson Distribution. Palanisamy and Latha,(2018) have given the procedure for the “Construction And Selection Of Chain Sampling Plan With Zero – Inflated Poisson Distribution.

A study of construction of chain sampling plan (ChSP-1), corresponding to given value of the acceptable quality level (AQL) and the overall average outgoing quality limit (AOQL) is presented. Comparison with ChSP-1plan with Poisson model for given AQL and AOQL values.

II CHAIN SAMPLING PLAN (ChSP-1)

For situation in which testing is destructive or very expensive sampling plans with small sample sizes are usually selected. These small sample size plans often have acceptance number of zero. Plans with zero acceptance numbers are often undesirable, however, their OC curves are convex throughout, which means that the probability of lot acceptance begins to drop very rapidly as the lot fraction defective becomes greater than zero. This is often unfair to the producer, and in situations where rectifying inspection is used requires the consumer to screen a large number of lots which are essentially of acceptable quality.

Dodge (1955) suggested an alternate procedure, known as chain sampling that might be a substitute for ordinary single-sampling plans with zero acceptance numbers in certain circumstances. Chain sampling plans make use of the cumulative results of several preceding lots. The conditions for application and the operating procedure for the ChSP-1 plan are given as follows:

III CONDITIONS FOR APPLICATION OF CHSP-1

The cost of destructiveness of testing is such that a relatively small sample size is necessary, although other factors make a large sample desirable.

The product to be inspected comprises a series of successive lots produced by a continuing process.

Normally lots are expected to be of essentially the same quality.

The consumer has faith in the integrity of the producer.

IV OPERATING PROCEDURE

The plan is implemented in the following way:

1. For each lot, select a sample of n units and test each unit for conformance to the specified requirements.
2. Accept the lot if d (the observed number of defectives) is zero in the sample of n units, and reject if d > 1.
3. Accept the lot if d is equal to 1 and if no defectives are found in the immediately preceding i samples of size n.

Dodge (1955) has given the operating characteristic function of ChSP-1 as

$$Pa(p) = P_0 + P_1(P_0)^i$$

Where P_i = probability of finding i nonconforming units in a sample of n units for $i = 0, 1$.

The Chain sampling Plan is characterized by the parameters n and i.

When $i = \infty$, the OC function of a ChSP -1 plan reduces to the OC function of the Single Sampling Plan with acceptance number zero and when $i = 0$, the OC function of ChSP-1 plan reduces to the OC function of the Single Sampling Plan with acceptance number 1.

V OPERATING CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTION OF ZIP MODEL

The OC function is defined as

$$P_a(p) = P\{X \leq c\} \quad (1)$$

Where p is the fraction defective

The numbers of defects are zero for many samples may consider Zero - inflated Poisson probability distribution. The probability mass function of the ZIP (ϕ, λ) distribution is given by Lambert (1992) and McLachlan and peel (2000)

$$P(X = x | \phi, \lambda) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f(x) + (1 - \phi)P(X=x) \\ f(x) \end{array} \right. \quad (2)$$

Where

$$f(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1, \text{ if } x = 0 \\ 0, \text{ if } x \neq 0 \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$P(X = x | \phi) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!}, \quad \text{when } x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The probability mass function can also be expressed as

$$P(X = x | \phi, \lambda) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{ + (1 - \phi) e^{-\lambda} \} \quad \text{when } x = 0 \\ (1 - \phi) \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!}, \quad \text{when } x = 1, 2, \dots, 0 < \phi < 1, \lambda > 0 \end{array} \right.$$

In this distribution, ϕ may be termed as the mixing proportion. ϕ and λ are the parameters of the ZIP distribution. According to McLachlan and Peel (2000), a Zip distribution is a special kind of mixture distribution.

The OC function of ZIP (ϕ, λ) distribution can be defined as

$$P_a(p) = \sum_{x=0}^c P(X = x | \phi, \lambda)$$

$$P_a(p) = \{ + (1 - \phi) e^{-\lambda} \} + \sum_{x=1}^c (1 - \phi) \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!},$$

$$x > 0, \lambda > 0, 0 < \phi < 1. \quad (3)$$

Where $\lambda = np$

VI CHAIN SAMPLING PLANS (CHSP-1) WITH ZERO-INFLATED POISSON DISTRIBUTION

The probability of acceptance for chain sampling plan of type ChSP- 1 based on Zero- inflated Poisson distribution

$$P_a(p) = (\{ + (1 - \phi) e^{-np} \}) + (\{ + (1 - \phi) e^{-np} \})^{i+1} + (1 - \phi) e^{-np} np (\{ + (1 - \phi) e^{-np} \})^i \quad (4)$$

VII AVERAGE OUTGOING QUALITY LIMIT (AOQL)

Average outgoing Quality, is the average quality of outgoing product including all accepted lots or batches, plus all rejected lots or batches after the rejected lots or batches have been effectively 100 percent inspected and all non-conformities replaced by non-defectives. The maximum value on the AOQ curve corresponds to the highest average percent defective or the lowest average quality for the sampling plan. It is called the Average outgoing quality limit (AOQL). Average Outgoing Quality (AOQ) is approximately obtained by $AOQ = p P_a(p)$.

For ChSP-1 with Zero - Inflated Poisson distribution

$$nAOQ = np \{ + np(1 - \phi) e^{-np} \} + np \{ + (1 - \phi) e^{-np} \}^{i+1} + (1 - \phi) e^{-np} (np)^2 (\{ + (1 - \phi) e^{-np} \})^i \quad (5)$$

Differentiating AOQ with respect to np and equating to 0, the value of Average Outgoing limit (AOQL) can be obtained by solving the equation.

$$\{ + (1 - \phi) e^{-np} \} ((\{ + (1 - \phi) e^{-np} \})^i + np (1 - \phi) e^{-np} (1 - np - i) (\{ + (1 - \phi) e^{-np} \})^{i-1} - (np)^2 e^{-np} (1 - \phi)^2 i (\{ + (1 - \phi) e^{-np} \} + 1)) - np (1 - \phi) e^{-np} = 0 \quad (6)$$

From Equation (6) the values of np (=npm) can be calculated for different values of ϕ and i. Substituting npm in equation (5) nAOQL values are obtained.

Table 1: Chain Sampling Plan under Zero - Inflated Poisson

$\{$	Pa(p)						
	i	0.99	0.95	0.50	0.10	0.05	0.01
0.0001	1	0.6343	0.6691	1.2014	2.5486	3.1605	4.6676
	2	0.4658	0.4937	0.9494	2.3343	3.0074	4.6156
	3	0.3774	0.4019	0.8334	2.3067	2.9981	4.6151
	4	0.3214	0.3438	0.7711	2.3038	2.9976	4.6151
	5	0.2822	0.3031	0.7361	2.3035	2.9976	4.6151
	6	0.2528	0.2727	0.7163	2.3034	2.9976	4.6151
	7	0.2299	0.2490	0.7054	2.3034	2.9976	4.6151
	8	0.2114	0.2299	0.6995	2.3034	2.9976	4.6151
	9	0.1962	0.2141	0.6964	2.3034	2.9976	4.6151
0.001	1	0.6350	0.6699	1.2033	2.5583	3.1795	4.7640
	2	0.4663	0.4943	0.9508	2.3426	3.0248	4.7101
	3	0.3778	0.4023	0.8346	2.3149	3.0154	4.7095
	4	0.3218	0.3441	0.7722	2.3119	3.0149	4.7095
	5	0.2825	0.3034	0.7370	2.3116	3.0149	4.7095
	6	0.2531	0.2730	0.7172	2.3116	3.0149	4.7095
	7	0.2302	0.2492	0.7063	2.3116	3.0149	4.7095
	8	0.2117	0.2301	0.7004	2.3116	3.0149	4.7095
	9	0.1964	0.2143	0.6973	2.3116	3.0149	4.7095
0.01	1	0.6424	0.6779	1.2224	2.6612	3.3936	4.2822
	2	0.4715	0.4998	0.9646	2.4307	3.2197	4.1127
	3	0.3819	0.4067	0.8462	2.4013	3.2093	4.0475
	4	0.3251	0.3478	0.7827	2.3982	3.2088	4.0209
	5	0.2854	0.3066	0.7469	2.3979	3.2088	4.0102
	6	0.2557	0.2758	0.7268	2.3978	3.2088	4.0102
	7	0.2325	0.2518	0.7156	2.3978	3.2088	4.0102
	8	0.2138	0.2324	0.7097	2.3978	3.2088	4.0102
	9	0.1983	0.2164	0.7065	2.3978	3.2088	4.0102
0.05	1	0.6775	0.7156	1.3158	3.3414	3.2978	4.3003
	2	0.4961	0.5262	1.0316	2.9914	3.1290	4.2067
	3	0.4011	0.4274	0.9022	2.9493	3.0636	4.0598
	4	0.3411	0.3651	0.8331	2.9449	3.0366	4.0305
	5	0.2992	0.3216	0.7943	2.9444	3.0258	4.0278
	6	0.2679	0.2891	0.7725	2.9444	3.0258	4.0265
	7	0.2435	0.2638	0.7605	2.9444	3.0258	4.0265
	8	0.2238	0.2434	0.7541	2.9444	3.0258	4.0265
	9	0.2076	0.2266	0.7507	2.9444	3.0258	4.0265
0.09	1	0.7169	0.7580	1.4263	4.7573	4.3167	5.3193
	2	0.5233	0.5556	1.1095	4.6560	4.1290	5.1486
	3	0.4224	0.4504	0.9667	4.5253	4.0260	5.0817
	4	0.3588	0.3843	0.8910	4.5123	4.0235	5.0538
	5	0.3144	0.3381	0.8486	4.5110	4.0220	5.0424
	6	0.2813	0.3038	0.8248	4.5108	4.0220	5.0424
	7	0.2555	0.2770	0.8118	4.5108	4.0220	5.0424
	8	0.2348	0.2555	0.8047	4.5108	4.0220	5.0424
	9	0.2177	0.2378	0.8011	4.5108	4.0220	5.0424

Table: 2 are used to construct chain sampling plan with ZIP through two points. The two points generally selected are $(p_1, 1 - \Gamma)$, (p_2, S) with Γ - Producer's Risk, S - Consumer Risk. Table 2 in the column for the appropriate given Γ and S that is equal to or just less than the desired ratio. Corresponding to the selected tabular values of p_2 / p_1 are np_1 and i . the sample size is determined by dividing np_1 by p_1 and i is read directly.

As an example, to find the chain sampling plan for $p_1 = 0.005$, $\Gamma = 0.05$ and $p_2 = 0.10$, $S = 0.10$ compute $p_2/p_1 = 0.10/0.005 = 20$, enter the table 2 for $\Gamma = 0.05$ and 0.10 , and select the values of the ratio p_2/p_1 in the column for $\Gamma = 0.05$ and $S = 0.10$ equal to or just less than 20. the values 18.969, which has associated with a values of $np_1 = 0.2378$ and the values of $\{ = 0.09, i = 9$. The sample size for the desired plan is then $np_1/p_1 = 0.2378 / 0.005 = 47.56$ which, when rounded off to the next higher integer, is 48. Hence the required plan (48,5)

Table 2: Values of np_1 , $\{$ and i for construction chain sampling plan, whose OC curve is required to pass Through the Two points $(p_1, 1-\Gamma)$ and (p_2, S)

$\{$	i	Value of (p_2/p_1) for					$\{$	i	$\Gamma = 0.05$ $S = 0.10$	$\Gamma = 0.05$ $S = 0.10$	$\Gamma = 0.05$ $S = 0.10$	np_1
		$\Gamma = 0.05$ $S = 0.10$	$\Gamma = 0.05$ $S = 0.10$	$\Gamma = 0.05$ $S = 0.10$	np_1							
0.0001	1	3.809	4.724	6.976	0.669	0.05	6	8.694	11.635	14.540	0.276	
	2	4.728	6.092	9.349	0.494		7	9.523	12.743	15.926	0.252	
	3	5.739	7.460	11.483	0.402		8	10.318	13.807	17.256	0.232	
	4	6.701	8.719	13.424	0.344		9	11.080	14.828	18.531	0.216	
	5	7.600	9.890	15.226	0.303		1	4.669	4.608	6.009	0.716	
	6	8.447	10.992	16.924	0.273		2	5.685	5.946	7.994	0.526	
	7	9.251	12.039	18.535	0.249		3	6.901	7.168	9.499	0.427	
	8	10.019	13.039	20.074	0.230		4	8.066	8.317	11.039	0.365	
	9	10.759	14.001	21.556	0.214		5	9.155	9.409	12.524	0.322	
0.001	1	3.819	4.746	7.112	0.670	0.09	6	10.185	10.466	13.928	0.289	
	2	4.739	6.119	9.529	0.494		7	11.161	11.470	15.263	0.264	
	3	5.754	7.495	11.706	0.402		8	12.097	12.431	16.543	0.243	
	4	6.719	8.762	13.686	0.344		9	12.994	13.353	17.769	0.227	
	5	7.619	9.937	15.522	0.303		1	6.276	5.695	7.018	0.758	
	6	8.467	11.044	17.251	0.273		2	8.380	7.432	9.267	0.556	
	7	9.276	12.098	18.898	0.249		3	10.047	8.939	11.283	0.450	
	8	10.046	13.103	20.467	0.230		4	11.742	10.470	13.151	0.384	
	9	10.787	14.069	21.976	0.214		5	13.342	11.896	14.914	0.338	
0.01	1	3.926	5.006	6.317	0.678	0.09	6	14.848	13.239	16.598	0.304	
	2	4.863	6.442	8.229	0.500		7	16.284	14.520	18.204	0.277	
	3	5.904	7.891	9.952	0.407		8	17.655	15.742	19.735	0.256	
	4	6.895	9.226	11.561	0.348		9	18.969	16.913	21.204	0.238	
	5	7.821	10.466	13.080	0.307							

Table 3: Certain Parametric values Chain Sampling Plan (ChSP-1) with ZIP Model

$\{$	i	np_1	np_2	np_m	$nAOQL$	p_2/p_1	$AOQL/p_1$	$\{$	i	np_1	np_2	np_m	$nAOQL$	p_2/p_1	$AOQL/p_1$
0.0001	1	0.6691	2.5486	0.8325	0.6508	3.8090	0.9726	0.05	6	0.2758	2.3978	0.9788	0.3899	8.6940	1.4137
	2	0.4937	2.3343	0.7070	0.4935	4.7282	0.9996		7	0.2518	2.3978	0.9822	0.3891	9.5226	1.5453
	3	0.4019	2.3067	0.7109	0.4201	5.7395	1.0453		8	0.2324	2.3978	0.9983	0.3888	10.3176	1.6730
	4	0.3438	2.3038	0.8187	0.3860	6.7010	1.1227		9	0.2164	2.3978	1.0674	0.3887	11.0804	1.7962
	5	0.3031	2.3035	0.9234	0.3739	7.5998	1.2336		1	0.7156	3.3414	0.9822	0.7156	4.6694	1.0000
	6	0.2727	2.3034	0.9696	0.3701	8.4466	1.3572		2	0.5262	2.9914	0.9291	0.5600	5.6849	1.0642
	7	0.2490	2.3034	0.9880	0.3688	9.2506	1.4811		3	0.4274	2.9493	0.9563	0.5041	6.9006	1.1795
	8	0.2299	2.3034	0.9954	0.3683	10.0191	1.6020		4	0.3651	2.9449	0.9623	0.4939	8.0660	1.3528
	9	0.2141	2.3034	0.9985	0.3682	10.7585	1.7198		5	0.3216	2.9444	0.9764	0.4922	9.1555	1.5305
	1	0.6699	2.5583	0.8347	0.6519	3.8189	0.9731		6	0.2891	2.9444	0.9783	0.4919	10.1847	1.7015
	2	0.4943	2.3426	0.7097	0.4945	4.7392	1.0004		7	0.2638	2.9444	0.9854	0.4918	11.1615	1.8643

0.001	3	0.4023	2.3149	0.7153	0.4212	5.7542	1.0470	0.09	8	0.2434	2.9444	0.9930	0.4916	12.0970	2.0197	
	4	0.3441	2.3119	0.8259	0.3874	6.7187	1.1258		9	0.2266	2.9444	1.0620	0.4912	12.9938	2.1677	
	5	0.3034	2.3116	0.9306	0.3738	7.6190	1.2320		1	0.7580	4.7573	0.9973	0.7877	6.2761	1.0392	
	6	0.2730	2.3116	0.9761	0.3678	8.4674	1.3473		2	0.5556	4.6560	0.9224	0.6255	8.3801	1.1258	
	7	0.2492	2.3116	0.9941	0.3652	9.2761	1.4655		3	0.4504	4.5253	0.9374	0.5667	10.0473	1.2582	
	8	0.2301	2.3116	0.9963	0.3641	10.0461	1.5824		4	0.3843	4.5123	0.9418	0.5437	11.7416	1.4148	
	9	0.2143	2.3116	1.0044	0.3636	10.7867	1.6967		5	0.3381	4.5110	0.9592	0.5412	13.3422	1.6007	
	0.01	1	0.6779	2.6612	0.8571	0.6624	3.9257		0.9771	6	0.3038	4.5108	0.9750	0.5410	14.8479	1.7808
		2	0.4998	2.4307	0.7382	0.5048	4.8633		1.0100	7	0.2770	4.5108	0.9882	0.5408	16.2845	1.9523
3		0.4067	2.4013	0.7643	0.4328	5.9044	1.0642	8	0.2555	4.5108	0.9989	0.5405	17.6548	2.1155		
4		0.3478	2.3982	0.9047	0.4022	6.8953	1.1564	9	0.2378	4.5108	1.0079	0.5400	18.9689	2.2708		
5		0.3066	2.3979	0.9346	0.3927	7.8209	1.2808									

VIII SELECTION PROCEDURE of ZIP CHAIN SAMPLING PLAN-1 FOR GIVEN AOQL AND $\{$

Table 4 is constructed for the selection of a ZIP chain sampling plan (ChSP-1) with given $\{$, the parameter of Zero inflated Poisson distribution and for the required AOQL. Such table can be extended for any value of $\{$ and

AOQL. For example, when AOQL =1%, $\{ =0.001, i=1$, then plans can be (651,1),(494,2), (420,2),(386,4),(374,5),(370,6),(369,7),(368,8) or (368) one of which may be chosen according to the requirement of inspection

Table 4: Value of sample size for given AOQL, $\{$ and i

		AOQL in Percent																	
$\{$	i	0.10	0.25	0.50	0.75	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.5	5.0	6.0	7.0	8.0	9.0	10.0
0.001	1	651	260	130	87	65	43	33	26	22	19	16	14	13	11	9	8	7	7
	2	494	197	99	66	49	33	25	20	16	14	12	11	10	8	7	6	5	5
	3	420	168	84	56	42	28	21	17	14	12	11	9	8	7	6	5	5	4
	4	386	154	77	51	39	26	19	15	13	11	10	9	8	6	6	5	4	4
	5	374	150	75	50	37	25	19	15	12	11	9	8	7	6	5	5	4	4
	6	370	148	74	49	37	25	19	15	12	11	9	8	7	6	5	5	4	4
	7	369	148	74	49	37	25	18	15	12	11	9	8	7	6	5	5	4	4
	8	368	147	74	49	37	25	18	15	12	11	9	8	7	6	5	5	4	4
	9	368	147	74	49	37	25	18	15	12	11	9	8	7	6	5	5	4	4
0.001	1	652	261	130	87	65	43	33	26	22	19	16	14	13	11	9	8	7	7
	2	495	198	99	66	49	33	25	20	16	14	12	11	10	8	7	6	5	5
	3	421	168	84	56	42	28	21	17	14	12	11	9	8	7	6	5	5	4
	4	387	155	77	52	39	26	19	15	13	11	10	9	8	6	6	5	4	4
	5	374	150	75	50	37	25	19	15	12	11	9	8	7	6	5	5	4	4
	6	368	147	74	49	37	25	18	15	12	11	9	8	7	6	5	5	4	4
	7	365	146	73	49	37	24	18	15	12	10	9	8	7	6	5	5	4	4
	8	364	146	73	49	36	24	18	15	12	10	9	8	7	6	5	5	4	4
	9	364	145	73	48	36	24	18	15	12	10	9	8	7	6	5	5	4	4
0.01	1	662	265	132	88	66	44	33	26	22	19	17	15	13	11	9	8	7	7
	2	505	202	101	67	50	34	25	20	17	14	13	11	10	8	7	6	6	5
	3	433	173	87	58	43	29	22	17	14	12	11	10	9	7	6	5	5	4

	4	402	161	80	54	40	27	20	16	13	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	4
	5	393	157	79	52	39	26	20	16	13	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	4
	6	390	156	78	52	39	26	19	16	13	11	10	9	8	6	6	5	4	4
	7	389	156	78	52	39	26	19	16	13	11	10	9	8	6	6	5	4	4
	8	389	156	78	52	39	26	19	16	13	11	10	9	8	6	6	5	4	4
	9	389	155	78	52	39	26	19	16	13	11	10	9	8	6	6	5	4	4
0.05	1	716	286	143	95	72	48	36	29	24	20	18	16	14	12	10	9	8	7
	2	560	224	112	75	56	37	28	22	19	16	14	12	11	9	8	7	6	6
	3	504	202	101	67	50	34	25	20	17	14	13	11	10	8	7	6	6	5
	4	494	198	99	66	49	33	25	20	16	14	12	11	10	8	7	6	5	5
	5	492	197	98	66	49	33	25	20	16	14	12	11	10	8	7	6	5	5
	6	492	197	98	66	49	33	25	20	16	14	12	11	10	8	7	6	5	5
	7	492	197	98	66	49	33	25	20	16	14	12	11	10	8	7	6	5	5
	8	492	197	98	66	49	33	25	20	16	14	12	11	10	8	7	6	5	5
	9	491	196	98	65	49	33	25	20	16	14	12	11	10	8	7	6	5	5
0.09	1	788	315	158	105	79	53	39	32	26	23	20	18	16	13	11	10	9	8
	2	626	250	125	83	63	42	31	25	21	18	16	14	13	10	9	8	7	6
	3	567	227	113	76	57	38	28	23	19	16	14	13	11	9	8	7	6	6
	4	544	217	109	72	54	36	27	22	18	16	14	12	11	9	8	7	6	5
	5	541	216	108	72	54	36	27	22	18	15	14	12	11	9	8	7	6	5
	6	541	216	108	72	54	36	27	22	18	15	14	12	11	9	8	7	6	5
	7	541	216	108	72	54	36	27	22	18	15	14	12	11	9	8	7	6	5
	8	541	216	108	72	54	36	27	22	18	15	14	12	11	9	8	7	6	5
	9	540	216	108	72	54	36	27	22	18	15	14	12	11	9	8	7	6	5

IX SELECTION PROCEDURE BASED OF ZIP CHAIN SAMPLING PLAN-1 BASED ON AOQL AND AQL

Table 5 is constructed for the selection of ZIP chain sampling plan-1 for the given value of AOQL and AQL. For given values AQL and AOQL the ratio AOQL/AQL is obtained. The sample size n is obtained and hence a combination (ξ, n, i) for given AOQL and AQL for the ZIP chain sampling plan is obtained. For example, when AOQL = 0.1 and AQL = 0.05, the table values closer to the ratio AOQL/AQL = 2 is obtained as 2.1155 for which $(\xi, i) = (0.09, 8)$ and 2.2708, for which $(\xi, i) = (0.09, 9)$.

Similarly more combination of (ξ, i) can be formed as per the inspection

X COMPARISON WITH ChSP-1 PLAN WITH POISSON MODEL

The parameters of ZIP chain sampling plan- may be compared with the parameters of ChSP-1 given by Soundararajan (1978) for given AOQL and AQL. When AOQL = 0.10 and AQL = 0.05 percent the optimum plan is (504, 1). In case of ChSP-1 with passion model. For the same combination of AOQL and AQL the appropriate

plans are (492, 8) and (541, 8), for $\xi = 0.05, 0.09$ respectively. It is observed that for small values of ξ , the optimum sample size is less and increases as ξ increases.

The number of proceeding sample is more compared with the ChSP -1 with Poisson model. This is much favourable to the consumer.

XI CONSTRUCTION OF AQL/ AOQL TABLE

In the Table 3, values of np1 have been calculated for p1 defined as AQL such that Pa(p) = 0.95. Also nAOQL values and the ratio AOQL/AQL are given. Given that AQL = 0.15 percent and AOQL is

0.25 percent, then $(AOQL/AQL) = 1.6666$.

From table 3, the values closer to this is 1.6020 which corresponding to a value of i = 8. with i = 8, np1 = 0.2299. Hence np1 / p1 = 0.0015 = 153.26, i.e., about 0.15. Thus the ChSP-1 corresponding to given AQL = 0.15 percent and AOQL is 0.25 percent is given by n = 156, $\xi = 0.01$ and i = 8. In similar manner, chain sampling plan have been calculated for a wide range of AQL and AOQL values given the table.

Table 5: Selection procedure based on AQL and AOQL

AQL in %	AOQL %		
		0.1	0.25
0.05	{	n, i	n, i
	0.05	492,8	-
	0.09	541,8	-
0.075	0.0001	370,6	-
	0.001	368,6	-
	0.01	393,5	-
	0.05	494,4	-
0.10	0.0001	494,2	-
	0.001	495,2	-
	0.01	505,2	-
	0.05	716,1	-
	0.09	788,1	-
0.15	0.0001	-	146,8
	0.001	-	147,8
	0.01	-	156,8
	0.09	-	217,5

XII CONCLUSION

The quality level and quality interval sampling plan possesses wider potential applicable in industry ensuring higher standard of quality attainment for product or process. Thus quality interval and quality level are good measure for defining and Designing for acceptance sampling plan which are readymade use to industrial shop-floor situations. A zero inflated model is the appropriate probability distribution to the number of non-conformities per product manufactured in such production process. The Chain sampling plan gives more pressure on the producer if the quality deteriorates. The complete Chain sampling plan gives more pressure on the producer if the quality deteriorates. These plans provide consumer an assurance regarding the outgoing quality or the quality of the lot after the inspection. Hence one can recommend this type of sampling plans for better quality control practice.

REFERENCES

[1] Bohning, D., Dietz, E., Schlattmann, P. (1999). The zero-inflated Poisson model and the decayed missing and filled teeth index in dental epidemiology. *Journal of Royal Statistical Society, Series A* 162:195–209.

[2] Dodge H.F, Chain sampling inspection plans, *Industrial Quality Control* II(4) (1955), 10-13 .

[3] Lambert, D. (1992). Zero-inflated Poisson regression with an application to defects in manufacturing. *Technometrics* 34:1–14.

[4] Loganathan, A and Shalini, K., (2013). Determination of Single sampling plans by attributes under the conditions of Zero – inflated Poisson distribution. *Communications in Statistics – Simulation and Computation* 43:3, 538-548.

[5] McLachan, G., Peel, D. (2000). *Finite Mixture Model*. New York: John Wiley & Sons

[6] Sim and Lim (2008). Attributes charts for zero – inflated processes, *Communication in statistics – simulation and computation* 37: 1440-1452.

[7] Soundararajan V Procedure and tables for construction and selection of chain sampling plan (ChSP-1) part-1, *Journal of Quality and Technology* 10(2) (1978). 56-60.

[8] Soundararajan V Procedure and tables for construction and selection of chain sampling plan (ChSP-1) part-2, *Journal of Quality and Technology* (1978). 99-103.

[9] Suresh K K and Latha M Construction and evaluation of performance measures for Bayesian chain sampling plan (BChSP-1) *Far East Journal of Theoretical Statistics* (2002) 129-139.

[10] Palanisamy A and Latha M, (2018) “Construction of Bayesian Single Sampling Plan by Attributes under the Conditions of Gamma Zero – Inflated Poisson Distribution,” *International Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Science*, Volume 3, Issue 1, pp. 67-71, 20.

[11] Palanisamy A and Latha M, (2018) “Construction And Selection Of Chain Sampling Plan With Zero – Inflated Poisson Distribution ” *International Journal of Engineering Research and Modern Education* , Volume 3, Issue 1, pp. 46-50.